G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1873.

~~191~~ .

(S. R.)

File No. 13 of 1873

Serial Nos. 1—6

Later references.

21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6

Major Part B for March 1878—

SUBJECT.

Compensation to Inamdars on account of the resumption of the Sayer Revenue.

Indexed.

Subbarao.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

1/132

1874. 1

From The Offg Supt of Incam Settlement

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

SG
13-73

Dated 4th
Despatched 6th } June
Received 6th

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 46 } 1874-75
No.

In reply to his Docket No. 1587/101 of 30 ultimo enclo a statement of Dramvilla, in which this Department has recognized the claim of Inamdars to Sayer and Abkari Revenue &c.

To Secretary

6-6-74

An authority quoted for granting compensation to the holders of Inam villages marked in blue pencil in the Secretary's letter No 1492/67 of the 26th June 1872. Even the terms of that letter no compensation can be granted if the alienation of the Sayer and Abkary Revenue is not authorized by the Sanads issued by the late Brahmanyath, and the last Column of the enclosed return shows that the Sanads produced make no such mention -

Explanation may be obtained on this point.

The Inam Supt may also be requested to state whether

2

written his name as he has
orders for the Dardanelles
Armament belongs to the
Contemptible Army has
been accepted and if any
body is especially
wanted the alternative of
the Boyer and Albany
Regiments of his rank belongs
to Brandon.

BB
6/7/14

Book
&
Letter

No 46. 2 Inám Settlement Office

Bangalore 4th June 1874.

To

The Secretary to the

Chief Commissioner

of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 158/101 of the 30th ultimo, I have the honor to enclose a statement shewing

(a) the names of Inám villages in which this Department has recognized the claims of the Inámdars to Sayer & Abkari Revenue,

(b.) the amount admitted, and,

(c.) how it was disposed of.

2. In his order No 3697/30 dated 8th October 1873 (vide Precis No 6.) the Chief Commissioner was pleased to prescribe a fresh mode of disposing of the items

4

of

of Sayer and Abkari in Inám villages, and to direct that a certificate should be issued to Inámdars, whose claims thereto have been confirmed. Previous however to the date of this order, Title Deeds had been issued to the Inámdars of 3 villages as per marginal detail, under the old rules by which the amount admitted as compensation for these 2 items was permanently deducted from the Combined Quit Rent.

	Name of village.	Name of Taluk.	Date of Title Deed
1.	Mallenahalli.	Bánávár	23rd Augt 187
2.	Kilára.	Kuliyúr-durga.	25th Novr 17
3.	Mallénahalli.	Goodibanda.	27th March 1

3. It is presumed that the certificates alluded to in para 1 of Precis No. 6, are to be granted by the Inám Department.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servt.

Asst to Collr of Inám Settlts

Statement shewing the amount of compensation to Sayer and Abkari revenue reserved for

Talook.	Village.	Amount of combined quit rent fixed by this Department.	Amount deducted from such quit rent as compensation.
1	2	3	4
		Rs As P.	Rs As P.
Banavar	Jodi Mallenahally	186 — " — "	67 — " — "
Huliyoordroog	1. Jodi Sattasandra	66 — " — "	" — 10 — 6
	2. Sarvamanya Hilára	100 — " — "	26 — " — "
	3. Jodi Hógatta	Jody 47 — 9 — "	17 — 7 — 3
	4. do Gottikere	517 — " — "	110 — 8 — 9
	5. do Hadasinga-nahalli	207 — " — "	1 — 12 — 3
Kunbajidroog	1. Sarvamanya Mari-nyakalapally	37 — " — "	22 — 6 — "
	2. Sarvamanya Doddehally.	38 — " — "	Not yet determined
	3. Sarvamanya Mékalasonnepalli	Free	1 — 6 — 4

granted to the holders of whole villages on account of Government.

On what account deducted.	Date of confirmation.	Whether Sthe. deduction has been given or not.	Whether sanad authorizes the enjoyment and the date of sanad.—
5	6	7	8.
Sayer	23-8-71	Issued.	Authorized by the sanad of His Highness the late Maharajah, dated 1826.
Abkary	10-7-72	No	No mention is made in the sanad. Compensation granted therefor, under the authority conveyed in Secretary's No. 1492 and 4631/102 of respectively 24th June 1872 and 19th December 1871.
Sayer and Abkari	25-11-70	Issued	Authorized by His Highness's sanad of 1819.—
Abkary	15-2-71	No	No mention is made in the sanad. The parties are enjoying the item of Sundi or Toddy, as per patty from the Taloor Cutcheary (dated 1843) and the Jamabundy Cowheara of 1862.—
do.	14-6-72	do.	The amount included in the Jodi on account of Toddy, has been deducted.
Sayer & Abkari	17-10-71 ~~22-10-71~~	do.	do. do.
Sayer	22-8-71	do.	No mention is made in the sanad. Compensation granted under the authority quoted above.
do.	17-7-71.	do.	The sanad has been called for.
do.	20-11-71.	do.	No mention is made in the ~~sanad~~ Sanad. Compensation granted, under the authority quoted above.—

Gudibandé.	Jodi Mallenahally.	106 — " — "	3 — 4 — "

Inam Settlement Office
Bangalore 4th June 1874.

Payer	27-3-71.	Issued.	Authorized by Dewan Poorniah's Firmp of 1801.

Offg: Supt of the Inam settlement.

B.W.L.

No. 4373
292

1874. 9

From

To The Superintendent of Immigration

Dated 17th September

Despatched Do "

Received No. 292

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 4373

No. 292

In reply to his No. 46 of the 4th Instno, Conveys the Chief Commrs remarks on the Statement of Immigrants-Settlers submitted with the above —

From the Same 8th Oct.
October 1874. No. 115.

17th Sept 74

No 4373

R 292

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlement.

Sir,



With reference to your letter No 46 of the 4th ultimo submitting a statement of Inam Villages in which the Inam Deptt has recognized the claims of the Inamdars to the Sawyer and Abkari Revenue I am directed by the Chief Comm to convey the following remarks

2. This Office letter No 1492/67 of the 24th June 1872 is quoted by you as the authority for granting compensation to the holders of the marginally no Inam Villages. Under the terms of that letter, no compensation can be grant if the alienation of Abka and Sawyer Revenue is not authorized in the Sun=nuds issued by H. H. the Maharajah. As the remar in the last column of the s =ment submitted by you s that no mention is made

Village	Taluk
Vodi Tattasandra	Hulioordroog
" Hogatta	do
Purvanamoya Narimyakala= =pully	Ambajidroog

in question, as to the aliena-
tion of the items of Sawyer
and Abkari, you are re-
quested to submit an ex-
planation on this point.

I am further directed
request that you will be
good enough to report whether
the Summud you have calle
for as regards the Dodda-
halli Survamanyam Villag
in the Anbajidroog Talu
has been received, and if s
whether it expressly convey
the alienation of the Sawyer
and Abkari revenues of th
said Village to the Inamda

I have &c.

Offg Secy to Govt.

A
9-33

12 1874.

From The Offg. Supt. of the Rám
Allahabad

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

SR
B 90
3

Dated 10th
Despatched 12th October
Received 13th

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 115. 1874/75.
No.

Govt Rs No 4373/292 dated 17th September 1874.

Has the honor to enclose a return giving the information called for in the above, regarding the claims of Sayer and Abkari claimed by certain villages.

2. It will be seen that with reference to the village of Dorddihalli, further information has been called for, as per remarks in the Remarks Column of the enclosed return, and that a further report with respect thereto will be submitted without delay.—

J Buckle

Offg. Supt. of the Rám
Allahabad

To Dr Duff

Statement Shewing the Amount of Compensation &c
of Sayer and Abkari revenue reserved for —

Taluk.	Village.	Amount of combined quit-rent fixed by this Department.	Amount deducted from quit-rent compensa
1	2	3	4
		R. A. P.	Rs. A P
Huliyurdrug.	1. Jodi Tattasandra	66 — " — "	" — 10 — 6
Do "	2. Do Kogatta.	(Jodi) 47 — 9 — "	17 — 7 — 3.
Ambaji drug.	1. Sawamanyam marnayakalahully.	37 — " — "	22 — 6 — "
Do "	2. Do Myakaladonne = =palli. —	Free	1 — 6 — 4

granted to the holders of whole villages on account Government.

de= such as tion.	On what account deducted	Date of Commutation	Whether Title Deed has been issued or not.	Whether Sanad authorizes the enjoyment, and the date of Sanad. ——
	5	6	7	8
	Abkari.	10-7-72	No	No mention is made in the Sanad, but by the Inamity account of 1831 the amount (Rs 10-6) was included in the Jodi on account of Abkari; It was therefore deducted from the Combined quit-rent, as Compensation therefor, at the Inam Settlement on the 10 July 1872.
	Do	15-2-71	Do	Do Do Do as per the Inamty accounts of 1843. Date of Settlement 10th February 1871.
	Layer	22-8-71.	Do	The Layer Revenue was included in the Beriz of the village. as per Amildar's Takid of 1834, conveying to the Inamdars the right of collecting the same, under instructions from the Bangalore Fouzdar. Rs 22-6-- was therefore granted, as compensation and deducted from the Combined Quit-Rent, at the Settlement on the 22d August 1871—
	Do	20-11-71.	Do	No mention is made in the Sanads - But compensation was granted as per order of the Superintendent of the old Division (now Commissioner) No 48 of 17th July 184

5

Ambajidrug	3 Sarvamanglem Doddiballi — }	Rs a p 38 — —	not deter

16

in which the Inamdar's right to the Sayer revenue was acknowledged. Rs 1-6-4 was therefore deducted from the Combined Quit-Rent, at the Settlement on the 20th November 1871.

yet mined.	Sayer.	17-7-71.	No.

There is no mention made in the Sanads regarding the Sayer revenue. The Enjoyment thereof by the Inamdars was admitted by the Assistant who settled the case on 26 November 1870, but no accounts are quoted on the Register as authority for so doing. The average Collection for the past 10 years viz. Rs 1-0-0 is only entered - In passing orders thereon the Superintendent on the 17 July 1871, directed the Sanads to be examined, and the case is still unconfirmed. A further reference to the Assistant has been made, and a report will be submitted in 10 days.

Inam Settlement Office
Bangalore 10th Octr 1874

Offg Supt of Inam Settlt

J-33

No. 134

1874.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No.

No. 128

179(a)

1874.

From The Offg: Supt. of the Inam & Mewurat

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

G.P.
1373

4

Dated 31st October

Despatched 2d November.

Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 128.
No. } 1874/75.

This Office No. 115 dated 10th October 1874.

In continuation of the remarks opposite the Village of Doddiballi in the statement enclosed in the above, has the honor to state that an examination of the accounts shows that in the Curvanangum account of 1831 the Beris of the Village is entered at Pagodas 28, but no particulars are given. The Curvanangum Kyfiet pattah of 1838 contains however the following particulars

Sowmya (A.D. 1789) Beris,
Land Revenue (C.P.) 27-6-
Motarja —— " -1-
Maravalli —— " -1-
Sayer Bedikki —— " -1-
Chiller Cowta —— " " -
Cauteroy Pagodas - 28-"-

Heirlandi (A.D. 1838)
Arotoovali -
Land Revenue 33-5-
Motarja —— 1-5-
Maravalli —— " -3-

18

Sayer. ——— 2-8-1
Chiller. ——— " 6-4
Canteroy Pagodas 38-8-11.

2. It will be seen from the above that the Sowmya Series of Pagodas 28 includes the Sayer item of 1 Hanam; the Series mentioned in the Sanad is also Pagodas 28:. The Sayer item was therefore included in it. Moreover in the list received from the Commissioner of the Chandragiry Division, it is stated that the Surrawaiyarndars are in the enjoyment of Sayer.

3. This right may therefore be conceded to them under para 1 of the Chief Commissioner's No. 3697/30 dated 8th October 1873. (Precis No. 1.)-

Offg: Supt. of the Division

A-10

1875.

No 6676
529

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

To The Supdt of Inam Settlt

Section

Dated 3d March 1875

Despatched

Received 10th

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 8876 } 187
R No. 529

Your Docket No 128 of the 31st October last, Sub-mitting a report on the Examination of the accounts of the Doddihalli Village

With reference to the above, has the honor to observe that the State-ment received with the Inam Supdts docket No 45 of the 10th October last, shows that the account of compensation to Inamdars on account of the resumption of the right to the management of the Sayer

Lawyer Revenues in the Inam Villages, which was deducted from the Quit rent or Jodi upon them is Rs 41-14-1. The Inam Supdt is requested to report whether the holders of the Villages in question consent to the restriction of the Chief Secretary's order No 3697/30 of the 8th October 1873, which sanctions the payment to them of the abolished Sawyer Revenue Minus a proportionate share for the cost of collection

"By order"

Secretary.

A-10

1875

From Supt Inam Settlt.

To, The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner.

dtd 8th March.

Recd 11th "

No 1923 of 1874-75.

In reply to No 8876/529 dd 3rd Instant, reports on the question of compensation to inamdars on account of the resumption of the Sagar revenue.

In Duplicate.

H. 3. 75

The object of this letter is to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying duplicate of the Inam Settlement Officer's No 3647/529 dated 8th October 1875, and its enclosures, regarding the number of Inamdars from whom the proposed deduction of 4 annas in the rupee of the Sagar revenue is to be levied.

Compensation was awarded to Inamdars in the Sagar District on account of the resumption of the Sagar revenue.

The Inam Settlement Officer was requested to ascertain whether the Inamdars would consent to his commuting their claims for compensation, which was proposed subsequently to the Inam settlement.

As observed by the Inam Settlement Officer in his letter of the 8th October 1875, the number of Inamdars from whom the consent must be obtained before the proposed deduction can be effected, is very large, and the Inam Settlement Officer proposes to issue orders to the Inamdars regarding the proposed deduction, and to call upon them to intimate their consent or otherwise within a month from the date of the receipt of the orders.

2-2

it is therefore necessary
that the Consul should
be detained beyond that
date.

1/30

11/3/55

23

Inám Settlement Office

No. 190. Bangalore 8th March 75.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commr.
of Mysore.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your docket, No. 8876/529 of the 3rd Instant, requesting me to report whether the holders of the villages in question consent to the introduction of the Chief Commrs. order, No. 3697/30 of the 8th October 1873, which secures to them the payment of the whole of the Sayer revenue of Inám villages, minus a proportionate share for the cost of collection; I have the honor to state that it would appear that para 1 of the above order leaves the holders of villages no option, unless

it is intended that the order in question should have no retrospective effect. The villages in question were settled previous to the order, and I would solicit instructions as to whether the holders of villages settled previous to the issue of the order are to be allowed an option or not.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servt.

D. Mc

Supdt: Inám Settlements.

W.H.